



FEDERICO ZILIA, LUIGI ORSI

THE SEA URCHIN VALUE CHAIN

**FROM WASTE TO PRODUCT
AN EXAMPLE OF CIRCULAR ECONOMY
ON APPLICATIONS DERIVING
FROM MARINE COLLAGEN**





ISBN
979-12-5994-524-2

FIRST EDITION
ROMA 27 OCTOBER 2021

CONTENTS

7	<i>List of Acronyms</i>
9	<i>List of Figures</i>
13	<i>List of Tables</i>
15	<i>Introduction</i>
19	Chapter I Circular economy and waste management. Literature review 1.1. Circular Economy Concept Overview, 19 – 1.2. The recent problem of waste management, 21 – 1.3. From Waste Management to Circular Economy, 22
25	Chapter II The Sea Urchin. Description of the species, biology, and habitats 2.1. The sea urchin overview, 25 – 2.2. Types of sea urchins, 26 – 2.2.1. Biology, habit, and density of the <i>Paracentrotus lividus</i> , 29
31	Chapter III The sea urchin's markets and the supply chain. Focus on the main producers and consumers 3.1. Global sea urchin harvest and trade, 31 – 3.2. The Latin America Markets, 33 – 3.2.1. Chile, 34 – 3.3. The Asian markets, 35 – 3.3.1. Japan, 37 – 3.3.2. The Russian Federation, 41 – 3.4. North America markets, 42 – 3.4.1. Maine (USA), 43 – 3.4.2.

California (USA), 44 – 3.5. The European markets, 45 – 3.5.1. France, 47 – 3.5.2. Italy, 48

51 Chapter IV

From marine waste to new eco-friendly products. Development of the biomedicine, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, and blue biotechnologies

4.1. Strategies for the prevention and reuse of fishing industry waste, 51 – 4.2. Reuse of *P. lividus* waste as nutrients for soil and plants, 53 – 4.3. The eco-sustainability of marine collagen, 54 – 4.3.1. The structure and composition of marine collagen, 55 – 4.3.2. Extraction and process of marine collagen, 55 – 4.4. The applications of marine collagen in the biomedicine and pharmaceutical sectors, 56 – 4.5. The benefits of sea urchin collagen and the development of blue biotechnologies, 58

61 Chapter V

Market research on the use of future. Eco-sustainable products made with sea urchin waste

5.1. Aims of study, 61 – 5.2. Materials and Methods, 51 – 5.3. Analysis and discussion of the questionnaire, 62

79 Chapter VI

Sustainable transition in the sea urchin industry with the Triple-Layered Business Model Canvas

6.1. Business Models, 79 – 6.2. An Analysis of Sea Urchin Industry through Original Business Model Canvas, 80 – 6.3. The integration of the BMC with the environmental and social aspects: the Triple-Layered, 86 – 6.3.1. The Environmental Layer, 86 – 6.3.2. The Social Layer, 90

97 *Conclusions*

101 *Acknowledgements*

103 *References*

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AAFC	Agriculture and Agri–Food Canada
BMC	Business Model Canvas
CAD	Canadian dollar
CalCOFI	California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations
CCNL	Contratto Collettivo Nazionale di Lavoro
CE	Circular Economy
COP21	21 st Conference of Parties
DMR	Department of Marine Resources
EC	European Commission
EDCMs	Echinoderm–derived collagen membranes
EMF	Ellen MacArthur Foundation
ESA	Environmental Services Association
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FSC	Food Supply Chain
GHG	Greenhouse gas
GTR	Guided tissue regeneration
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
HORECA	Hotellerie–Restaurant–Café
MLS	Minimum landing size
PUHA	Pacific Urchin Harvesters Association

RoHS Restriction of Hazardous Substances Directives

TLBMC Triple–Layered Business Model Canvas

UN United Nations

WCGUA West Coast Green Urchin Association

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Traditional hierarchy of waste management from the best choice to the least favourable one. Source: authors' elaboration, 2021.	23
Figure 2. The transition from a hierarchical model of waste management to a new system based on circular approach. Source: authors' elaboration, 2021.	24
Figure 3. Total world sea urchin production between 1950–2018, in tonnes value. Source: authors using FishStatJ database, 2021.	32
Figure 4. Global Sea Urchins harvest (production) divided by countries between 2000–2018, in tonnes value. Source: authors using FishStatJ database, 2021.	32
Figure 5. Latin America' sea urchin production between 2000–2018, in tonnes value. Source: authors using FishStatJ database, 2021.	34
Figure 6. Asian sea urchin production between 2000–2018, in tonnes value. Source: authors using FishStatJ database, 2021.	36
Figure 7. Sea urchin processing plan for different products. Source: authors' elaboration, 2021.	39
Figure 8. Seafood distribution channels. Source: authors' elaboration based on JFA and Yagi, 2011.	40

Figure 9. Global sea urchin production vs. USA and Canada production between 2000–2018, in tonnes value. Source: authors using FishStatJ database, 2021.	43
Figure 10. European markets for the harvest and sale of sea urchins between 2000–2018, in tonnes value. The most important countries. Source: authors using FishStatJ database, 2021.	46
Figure 11. Global capture and aquaculture production (1950–2018), in tonnes value. Source: authors’ elaboration using FishStatJ database, 2021.	51
Figure 12. Marine collagen and applications in the biomedicine and pharmaceutical sectors. Source: authors, 2021.	57
Figure 13. Question 1. Gender: male or female.	62
Figure 14. Question 2. Age.	63
Figure 15. Regions of residence for collected samples.	64
Figure 16. Question 4. Usually lives in a: village, town, city, large city, or metropolis.	65
Figure 17. Question 6. Monthly household income divided by economic range.	66
Figure 18. Question 7. When choosing a product, how important is? Taste, practicality, price, brand, typicality of the product, immediate effects on the body, future effects on health, effects on the environment, effects on society.	67
Figure 19. Question 8. When shopping, do you check the following information? List of ingredients, nutritional table, geographical origin, expiration date, organic product logo, environmental certifications.	68
Figure 20. Question 9. Do you know the concept of circular economy?	69

Figure 21. Question 10. Do you think it pollutes more a portion of fish or pasta?	70
Figure 22. (left) – Question 11. Do you eat more frequently fresh, frozen, defrosted, processed or other?	71
Figure 23. (left) – Question 13. Have you ever consumed organic fish products? Yes, not, I don't know. (right) – Question 14. If you have purchased organic fish products, how often do you consume them? Rarely, sometimes, often, always.	73
Figure 24. Question 15. How often do you eat sea urchins?	73
Figure 25. Question 16. Have you ever used cosmetic products containing marine collagen?	74
Figure 26. Question 17. Future consumption of cosmetic products containing collagen extracted from sea urchin waste.	75
Figure 27. Question 18. Are you aware of the following benefits of marine collagen?	76
Figure 28. Question 19. Do you think that future eco-sustainable products, made in part with scraps deriving from sea urchins, could cost? More, less, equal to other similar products, but not eco-sustainable.	77
Figure 29. Economic Business Model Canvas. An analysis of the sea urchin industry from an economic point of view. Source: authors' own elaboration, 2021.	85
Figure 30. Environmental Life Cycle Business Model Canvas. An analysis of the sea urchin industry from an environmental point of view using the triple layered business model. Source: authors' own elaboration, 2021.	90
Figure 31. Social stakeholder Business Model Canvas. An analysis of the sea urchin industry from a social point of view using the triple layered business model. Source: authors' own elaboration, 2021.	95

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Distribution of sea urchin species. Source: Williams, 2002.	26
Table 2. Systematic framework of <i>Paracentrotus lividus</i> . Source: Lamarck, 1816.	28
Table 3. Italian production of sea urchins capture between 2017–2019, in tonnes value. Source: Eurostat, 2020.	48
Table 4. Question 3. Regions of residence.	63
Table 5. Question 5. Educational qualification: primary school certificate, 8 th grade diploma, diploma, Bachelor’s Degree, Master Degree, specialisation course, PhD.	65
Table 6. Scale of values on the degree of importance in the choice of purchasing a product.	67
Table 7. Scale of frequency values in checking information.	68
Table 8. List of the main species farmed organically in Italy. Source: Capoccioni, 2017.	71
Table 9. Scale of values on the degree of knowledge of the benefits deriving from marine collagen.	75
Table 10. Employees classification. Source: Contratto Collettivo Nazionale di Lavoro: Pesca ed Imprenditoria Ittica, 2019.	92

INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, circular economy (CE) has become one of the most discussed issues in the European and non-European political debate (Monier, V. et al., 2014), as a result of continuous and increasingly evident phenomena related to climate change and the growing emissions of greenhouse gas (GHG) (Zafeiriou et al., 2018).

The traditional linear economy model based on extraction, processing, production and waste no longer seems to be functional in a world where natural resources are starting to run out (European Commission, 2014).

According to the United Nations, the world population will reach 9 billion by 2050, causing several problems in terms of food security and in the increasing consumption of raw materials (Hamam et al., 2021). Therefore, rulers are called upon to pay attention to alternative forms to reduce pollution and waste of these resources, thus inserting the topic of circular economy in the political agenda (European Commission, 2015, 2014; Monier, V. et al., 2014). Sustainable economic growth has several purposes: mitigate price volatility, improve food security in the poorest regions of the planet, limit waste of resources, reduce environmental impact and create new jobs (Kalmykova, Y. et al., 2018). Indeed, precisely with regard to the last point, according to the Environmental Services Association (ESA), the circular economy in the United Kingdom has encouraged the hiring of about 50,000 new jobs and USD 12 billion in investments (ESA, 2013). In this context, in 2015 the European Commission (EC) introduced the First Action Plan in its agenda with the aim of helping Europe in a process of transition from the linear to the circular economy (Smol et al., 2020). The Action Plan provides for 54 measures that seek to close the life cycle of products: from production to consumption and waste management (European Commission, 2019). A further step forward was taken by the EC last March 2020, with the adoption of the European Green Deal (European Commission, 2020). This aims to achieve climate neu-

trality in Europe by 2050, restore biodiversity and incentivize a society that is balanced with a clean and cost-efficient circular economy (European Commission, 2020; Smol et al., 2020). In addition, the Green Deal is committed to supporting the supply, the use of renewable energy sources, by-products and the reuse of waste and residues (Raimondo et al., 2021).

In many countries, without contribute from the legislator (e.g., subsidy, frameworks, taxes, etc.), an autonomous and convinced awareness on the part of citizens in adopting initiatives to contrast climate change is still missing. It is therefore necessary to rethink the world economic system in a new perspective, which makes eco-innovation and better food waste management its strengths.

Regarding to this latter aspect, FAO estimates that about 1.3 billion tonnes of food are lost or discarded every year, equal to one third of total edible food (FAO, 2019). Nowadays, with the increase in fish consumption, most wild fish stocks are classified as fully exploited, with several species facing extinction (Delgado, C. L. et al., 2003). Moreover, a remarkable share of waste is represented by the discarded fish, which includes many species and by-catches (Caruso, G., 2015). Fishing waste is estimated to exceed 20 million tonnes each year, about 25% of the annual world harvest (Caruso, G., 2015; Kim, S. K. and Mendis, E., 2006). Therefore, this is an increasingly emerging problem, even because fish industries are suspected of being responsible for significant risk to the environment (Arvanitoyannis, I. S. and Tserkezou, P., 2014). The fish waste occurs for several reasons: products that are caught but not sold because they have a low commercial value (Caruso, G., 2015), damaged goods, inadequate management of the supply chain, improper storage of the product and finally waste deriving from domestic consumption (Zilia et al., 2021). There are also species such as sea urchins, where waste is abundant due to the high content of inedible parts, such as shells, spines and viscera (Raman, M. and Gopakumar, K., 2018). Lacking management of fish waste and overfishing have several negative implications on ecosystems and the protection of marine species (e.g., damage to habitats, illegal fishing, endangered fish species). Therefore, from food waste, the reuse of discarded fish represents a valid tool to reduce the environmental impact of fishing (Caruso, G., 2015) and to promote new forms of sustainable business through more virtuous economy. In addition to better waste management and the reuse of waste to obtain biomaterials or energy sources, by implementing a circular economy approach it is also possible to maximize the value of food production and to reduce waste (Borrello et al., 2020a).

Therefore, this study aims to provide concrete examples of circular economy such as the creation of new products deriving from sea urchin waste and the sustainable transition in the sea urchin industry.

The monograph is organized as follows: Chapter 1 provides a literature review of the key topics of the work such as the concept of circular economy and waste management, through an integrated model. Chapter 2 provides a brief description of the sea urchins biology and habitats. Chapter 3 analyses the global sea urchin trade and the supply chain. reuse of waste in several sectors such as biomedicine, cosmetics, and pharmaceuticals. It will be analysed also the potentials deriving from marine collagen extracted from sea urchin waste. Chapter 4 provides an accurate description of the transition from food waste, specifically fish products and sea urchins, to reuse in various sectors such as biomedicine, cosmetics, and pharmaceuticals. It will be analysed also the potentials deriving from marine collagen extracted from sea urchin waste. In Chapter 5, it is explained the market survey, carried out with a questionnaire administered via web, about the use and opinions of participating consumers of future eco-sustainable products made with sea urchin scraps. Chapter 6 broadly analyses the concept of business models in the literature, focusing on the Business Model Canvas methodology applied to companies that process sea urchins. In addition, this chapter focuses on a Triple-Layered analysis for the sea urchins industry's transition, focusing on the main items that make up the environmental and social layers.

CHAPTER I

CIRCULAR ECONOMY AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1. Circular Economy Concept Overview

Today, the consequences caused by human activities exceed the resilience of ecosystems around the world (Borrello et al., 2020b). Therefore, in recent years, a greater awareness is emerging in making efforts to implement a transition into a circular economy system (Borrello et al., 2020b). Consequently, the discussion on the circular economy is attracting wide interest not only at the political and public debates, but also in the academic literature (Hamam et al., 2021). Indeed, there are many articles in the literature that try to define and explain the concept of circular economy. The origin of the term “Circular Economy” dates back to the late 1970s when Stahel and Reday (Stahel, W.R. and Reday, G., 1982) conceptualized a cyclical economy that could be able to limit waste, increase jobs and ensure the efficiency of natural resources.

Other scholars, like Ghisellini et al. (2016) (Ghisellini, P. et al., 2016) attributed the concept of circular to Pearce and Turner (1989). For these two economists, natural resources are able to influence the economy in providing inputs for production and consumption and outputs in the form of waste (Pearce, D.W. and Turner, K., 1989). To explain the circular model, they started by analysing the characteristics of contemporary linear and open–closed economic systems in which production, supported by capital goods, is aimed at the production of goods of consumption, whose ultimate goal is to create utility (Andersen, M.S., 2007).

To explain the transition from an open–closed to a circular system, it's important to understand the link that exists between used resources and residual waste (Andersen, M.S., 2007).

As the first law of thermodynamics states, that is, the energy and matter considered, remain constant in a closed system, it is also true in a circular economic system, in which the amount of waste generated in a given period must correspond to the quantity of depleted resources, so as to avoid failing (Andersen, M.S., 2007). In contrast to the linear open-ended system, capital goods in the circular model play a temporary role as resources for the production processes (Andersen, M.S., 2007), but after being consumed they turn into waste. Consequently, if it is considered the recycling factor, a part of the waste can be transformed into resources, thus starting a new production cycle.

However, according to Georgescu-Roegen (Georgescu-Roegen, N., 1971) not all waste can be recycled because of the second law of thermodynamics—entropy. Indeed, the process of recycling and recovering waste materials requires additional energy (Georgescu-Roegen, N., 1971). This recovery will never be total, but will produce other waste or collateral products (Korhonen, J. et al., 2018). For this reason, even the circular economy shows limits, specifically that of dissipating materials that are lost in the ecosystem and which are then impossible to recover (Korhonen, J. et al., 2018). A solution to this problem, at least in theoretical part, could be to always use renewable energy from the sun, for the entire recycling process. This solution, however, would require large investments and a lot more work to complete the whole cycle.

To better understand the concept of circular economy and its operating principles, it has been possible to find in the literature several reports and articles concerning the CE, published over the years by the Ellen MacArthur Foundation.

The most recent and recurrent definitions are the following: «an industrial economy in which material flows keep circulating at a high rate without entering the biosphere unless they are biological nutrients» (Ellen Macarthur Foundation, 2012); «an industrial economy that is restorative by intentions; aims to rely on renewable energy; minimizes, tracks and eliminates the use of toxic chemicals; and eradicates waste through careful design» (Ellen Macarthur Foundation, 2013); «an economy that provides multiple value-creation mechanism which are decoupled from the consumption of finite resources; in a circular economy, growth comes from “within”, by increasing the value derived from existing economic structures, products and materials» (Ellen Macarthur Foundation, 2015a, 2015b).

Since the circular economy is not yet a common economic model because of application limits, already mentioned, it therefore remains a widely debated topic by economists and academics. However, among the most optimis-