

RIFLESSIONI GIURIDICHE SUL LAVORO

Direttore

Silvia Ciucciovino

Università degli Studi Roma Tre

Comitato scientifico

Anna Maria Alaimo

Università degli Studi di Catania

Ilario Alvino

Università degli Studi di Milano–Bicocca

Stefano Bellomo

Università degli Studi di Perugia

Maria Teresa Carinci

Università degli Studi di Milano

Luisa Corazza

Università degli Studi del Molise

Pietro Lambertucci

Università degli Studi dell'Aquila

Arturo Maresca

Sapienza — Università di Roma

Roberto Romei

Università degli Studi Roma Tre

Franco Scarpelli

Università degli Studi di Milano–Bicocca

Patrizia Tullini

Università di Bologna

Gaetano Zilio Grandi

Università Ca' Foscari Venezia

Vito Sandro Leccese

Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro

Raffaele De Luca Tamajo

Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II

Edoardo Ales

Università degli Studi di Cassino e del Lazio Meridionale

Antonella Occhino

Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore — Facoltà di Medicina e Chirurgia

RIFLESSIONI GIURIDICHE SUL LAVORO



La collana vuole promuovere, in un momento di grande trasformazione della legislazione lavoristica, l'approfondimento e il dibattito scientifico plurale in materia di lavoro, anche in relazione ai profili evolutivi della materia. Per questo motivo la collana vuole dare spazio a una riflessione scientifica di ampio respiro ed estesa su diversi ambiti, anche al fine di riannodare i legami indispensabili tra la materia del rapporto di lavoro, dell'intervento pubblico nel mercato del lavoro, dei servizi per il lavoro, delle relazioni industriali, della previdenza e della sicurezza sociale. Dall'altro lato, la collana intende dare spazio e valorizzare riflessioni giuslavoristiche attente ai profili interdisciplinari e ai punti di contatto con altre discipline sociali limitrofe che si occupano del lavoro e che possono aiutare il giurista a comprendere e a dare forma alle norme. Nello spirito di massima partecipazione al dibattito, anche delle giovani generazioni di studiosi, la collana ospita monografie, opere collettanee, ricerche, studi tematici elaborati sulla base di *call for papers* periodiche lanciate alla comunità scientifica su specifici argomenti ritenuti di particolare interesse.

In "Riflessioni giuridiche sul lavoro" sono pubblicate opere di alto livello scientifico. Il direttore approva le opere e le sottopone a referaggio con il sistema del « doppio cieco » (« *double blind peer review process* ») nel rispetto dell'anonimato sia dell'autore, sia dei due revisori incaricati. I revisori rivestono o devono aver rivestito la qualifica di professore universitario di prima fascia nelle università italiane o una qualifica equivalente nelle università straniere. Ciascun revisore formulerà una delle seguenti valutazioni: *a)* pubblicabile senza modifiche; *b)* pubblicabile previo apporto di modifiche; *c)* da rivedere in maniera sostanziale; *d)* da rigettare, tenendo conto della: *a)* significatività del tema nell'ambito disciplinare prescelto e originalità dell'opera; *b)* rilevanza scientifica nel panorama nazionale e internazionale; *c)* attenzione adeguata alla dottrina e all'apparato critico; *d)* adeguato aggiornamento normativo e giurisprudenziale; *e)* rigore metodologico; *f)* proprietà di linguaggio e fluidità del testo; *g)* uniformità dei criteri redazionali. Nel caso di giudizio discordante fra i due revisori, la decisione finale sarà assunta dal direttore, salvo casi particolari in cui il direttore medesimo provvederà a nominare tempestivamente un terzo revisore a cui rimettere la valutazione dell'elaborato. Le schede di valutazione verranno conservate, in doppia copia, in appositi archivi. Il termine per la valutazione non deve superare i venti giorni, decorsi i quali il direttore della collana, in assenza di osservazioni negative, ritiene approvata la proposta. Sono escluse dalla valutazione gli atti di convegno, le opere dei membri del comitato e le opere collettive di provenienza accademica. Il direttore, su sua responsabilità, può decidere di non assoggettare a revisione scritti pubblicati su invito o comunque di autori di particolare prestigio.

Although this book is the outcome of a joint work and analysis of the two Authors, Chapters shall be referred to as follows: Chapters v, vi, ix, x, xi shall be referred to Camilla Della Giustina; Chapters ii, iii, iv, vii, viii shall be referred to Professor Pierre de Gioia Carabellese. The “Introduction” and Chapter 1 shall be referred to both Authors.

Classificazione Decimale Dewey:

344.2401 (23.) DIRITTO DEL LAVORO. UNIONE EUROPEA

PIERRE DE GIOIA CARABELLESE

CAMILLA DELLA GIUSTINA

**EMPLOYMENT LAW
IN THE UK AND EU**
TECHNOLOGY, HUMAN RIGHTS AND CRISES





©

ISBN
979-12-218-1123-0

PRIMA EDIZIONE
ROMA 8 APRILE 2024

INDEX

- 11 *Table of cases*
- 27 *Table of statutes*
- 33 *International organisations' pieces of primary and secondary legislation*
- 35 *Legenda (reading guidelines)*
- 39 Introduction
1. Common law and civil law, 39 – 2. British common law, 41 – 3. The European Union legal system, 45 – 4. European Convention on Human Rights and European Court of Human Rights: brief references, 47 – 5. Labour law and Italian legal system, 49 – 6. Labour law and new challenges, 51 – 7. Research and teaching questions, 54 – 8. Methodology 56
- 59 Chapter 1
The Employment Contract
1.1. The identification of the contract of employment, 59 – 1.2. The qualification of an employment contract, 61 – 1.2.1. *The control test*, 64 – 1.2.2. *The organisation or the integration test*, 65 – 1.2.3. *The economic reality test*, 67 – 1.2.4. *Multiple and variable test*, 69 – 1.3. The definition of worker status, 74 – 1.4. The controversial demarcation line: a view on the U.S., 77 – 1.5. The European Union concept of worker, 78 – 1.5.1. *Employment pro hac vice*, 83 – 1.5.2. *Special working relationship*, 84 – 1.6. Special relationship in Italian and EU legal system, 89

95 Chapter II

The Content of the Contract of Employment

2.1. Introduction, 95 – 2.2. Sources of employment contract, 101 – 2.3. Labour law in the UK and Brexit, 102 – 2.4. Terms incorporated from a collective agreement, 107 – 2.5. The counterpart in a civil law system, 111 – 2.6. An ad hoc contract of employment: the seafarer, 116 – 2.6.1. *An introduction*, 116 – 2.6.2. *Maritime labour law*, 119 – 2.6.2.1. *Seafarers' employment agreement*, 120 – 2.6.2.2. *Hours of work and rest*, 121 – 2.6.2.3. *Annual leave and shore leave*, 121 – 2.6.2.4. *Right to strike*, 121 – 2.6.2.5. *Working in Fishing Convention (WIFIC 2007)*, 122 – 2.6.3. *Compliance and enforcement*, 126 – 2.6.4. *Seafarers and Covid-19 emergency*, 130 – 2.6.5. *Technology in maritime labour law*, 132

135 Chapter III

Termination of the Contract of Employment

3.1. Introduction, 135 – 3.2. Wrongful dismissal and wrongful resignation, 137 – 3.3. Termination of an employment contract, 140 – 3.3.1. *Frustration*, 141 – 3.3.2. *Termination by performance or passage of time*, 143 – 3.3.3. *Termination in specific hypotheses connected to the employer*, 144 – 3.3.4. *Termination by agreement*, 147 – 3.3.5. *Termination by dismissal*, 148 – 3.3.6. *Termination by dismissal (continued): the implied term of contract*, 151 – 3.4. The fair dismissal, 152 – 3.5. The 'Polkey Rule', 154 – 3.6. The termination of employment contract from an Italian perspective, 156

165 Chapter IV

Duties and Rights in the Employment Contract

4.1. Introduction, 165 – 4.2. The bilateral duty of trust and confidence, 166 – 4.3. The employer's duties, 172 – 4.3.1. *The duty to pay*, 172 – 4.3.2. *The 'duty' to provide work*, 176 – 4.3.3. *The duty of care*, 177 – 4.3.4. *Providing reference: a sui generis duty of care*, 180 – 4.4. Duties of employees, 181 – 4.5. "Blood" work: new challenges in the management of health issues, 185 – 4.6. Some glances from the Italian labour law about duties and rights, 188 – 4.7. Whistleblowing as an exception to the duty of confidentiality, 192 – 4.7.1. *The international origin*, 194 – 4.7.2. *The Whistleblowing under the European Convention on Human Rights*, 196 – 4.7.3. *The European Union Directive about whistleblower*, 199 – 4.7.4. *The whistleblowing regulation in the Italian legal system*, 203

- 205 Chapter v
Working Time Regulation
5.1. Introduction, 205 – 5.2. The definition of working time, 206 – 5.3. The night work, 209 – 5.4. The rest periods, 211 – 5.5. Annual leave, 215 – 5.6. Enforcement and remedies, 217 – 5.7. Statutory rights about time off, 218
- 221 Chapter vi
Health and Safety at Work
6.1. Introduction, 221 – 6.2. Covid–19 and labour law, 222 – 6.2.1. *The British legislation in health and safety matter*, 223 – 6.2.2. *The European Union Regulation of Covid–19 pandemic*, 227 – 6.2.3. *The Italian approach in the health and safety matter*, 230 – 6.2.4. *Covid–19 in the Italian legal system from an economic perspective*, 237 – 6.3. Stress, 238 – 6.4. The criminal law, 241 – 6.4.1. *The Health and Safety at Work Act 1974*, 242 – 6.4.2. *The First Aid Regulation*, 244 – 6.4.3. *The Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulation (COSHH)*, 245 – 6.4.4. *The control of noise at work*, 245 – 6.4.5. *The “six pack”*, 246 – 6.4.6. *Smoking bans*, 246 – 6.4.7. *Influence of European Union: short references*, 246 – 6.4.8. *Corporate manslaughter*, 248 – 6.5. The civil liability, 251 – 6.5.1. *Negligence*, 252 – 6.5.2. *The employer’s defences*, 255 – 6.5.3. *Breach of contract*, 257
- 261 Chapter vii
Discrimination in Workplace
7.1. Introduction, 261 – 7.2. The Equality Act 2010, 264 – 7.3. Typologies of discrimination, 265 – 7.3.1. *Direct discrimination*, 267 – 7.3.2. *Indirect discrimination*, 269 – 7.3.3. *Harassment*, 270 – 7.3.4. *Victimisation*, 272 – 7.4. Protected characteristics, 273 – 7.4.1. *Age discrimination*, 273 – 7.4.2. *Disability discrimination*, 274 – 7.4.3. *Gender reassignment*, 278 – 7.4.4. *Marriage and civil partnership*, 280 – 7.4.5. *Pregnancy and maternity*, 281 – 7.4.6. *Race discrimination*, 283 – 7.4.7. *Religion or belief discrimination*, 285 – 7.4.8. *Sex*, 291 – 7.4.9. *Sexual orientation*, 292 – 7.5. A new form of discrimination: the algorithmic discrimination, 293
- 297 Chapter viii
Family Friendly Legislation
8.1. Introduction, 297 – 8.2. The European and UK Legislation, 298 – 8.3. The maternity legislation, 300 – 8.3.1. *Time off for ante–natal care*, 302 – 8.3.2. *Maternity leave*, 302 – 8.3.3. *Maternity pay*, 303 – 8.3.4. *Contract of*

employment during maternity leave, 304 – 8.3.5. *Allowances*, 305 – 8.3.6. *The return to work after maternity leave*, 306 – 8.4. Paternity leave, 306 – 8.5. Parental Leave, 307 – 8.6. Time off to care for dependents, 308 – 8.7. The right to request a flexible working arrangement, 310

313 Chapter IX

Collective Agreement and Trade Unions

9.1. Introduction, 313 – 9.2. Trade unions, 314 – 9.3. Human rights and trade unions, 316 – 9.4. Trade union and their members, 318 – 9.4.1. *The exclusion*, 319 – 9.4.2. *The employee's expulsion from the trade union*, 320 – 9.5. The close shop, 322 – 9.6. The collective bargaining, 323 – 9.7. The collective agreements, 327 – 9.8. New challenges for trade unionism: gig-economy and globalisation, 328 – 9.9. Industrial action, 331 – 9.9.1. *The right to strike*, 332 – 9.9.2. *Picketing and protest*, 339

341 Chapter X

Worker Participation in Business

10.1. Introduction, 341 – 10.2. The co-operative, 343 – 10.3. The worker participation in corporate governance, 346 – 10.4. Workers' buyout (WBO), 348 – 10.5. Worker participation in business under European Union law, 351

355 Chapter XI

Technology, Human Rights and ESG Factors

11.1. Introduction, 355 – 11.2. Technological development, 358 – 11.2.1. *Gig economy*, 361 – 11.2.2. *Telework*, 378 – 11.2.3. *Drones working*, 379 – 11.3. The algorithmic system in labour law, 381 – 11.4. Surveillance and algorithmic surveillance in the workplace, 384 – 11.5. The burning issue of privacy, 389 – 11.6. ESG factors in labour law, 394 – 11.7. The law in the metaverse: first observations, 399 – 11.8. Looking to the future: working in the smart city, 402

407 *Bibliography*

TABLE OF CASES

- A v Bonmarché Ltd*, (4,107,766/2019).
- Adamson v B & L Cleaning Services Ltd*, [1995] IRLR 193.
- Addis v Gramophone Company Ltd*, [1909] AC 488 HL.
- Addison v Ashby*, [2003] IRLR 211.
- Adin v Sedco Forex International Resources Ltd*, [1997] IRLR 280.
- Ahmad v ILEA*, [1977] ICR 490.
- Al Jumard v Chwyd Leisure Ltd*, [2008] IRLR 345.
- Alabaster v Woolwich Building Society*, [2002] IRLR 420.
- Albemarle Paper Co. v Moody*, 422 U.S. 405, 425 (1975).
- Alexander v Standard Telephones and Cables Ltd* (No 2), [1991] I.R.L.R. 286.
- Anderson v Pingle of Scotland Ltd*, [1998] I.R.L. 64.
- Archibald v Fife Council*, (2004) S.L.T.
- Arrowsmith v United Kingdom*, (1978) 3 EHRR 218.
- Asda stores ltd v Brierberly*, [2021] UKSC 10.
-
- B v A*, [2010] IRLR 400.
- Bahl v The Law Society*, [2004] IRLR 799.
- Bainbridge v Circuit Foil UK Ltd*, [1997] IRLR 305.
- Baker v Kaye*, [1997] IRLR 219.
- Bank of Credit and Commerce International v Ali*, [2001] IRLR 292 HL.
- Barber v Somerset County Council*, [2004] UKHL 13.
- Barclay v City Glasgow District Council*, [1983] IRLR 312.
- Bartholomew v London Borough of Hackney*, [1999] IRLR 246 CA.

- Bautista v Star Cruises and Norwegian Cruise Line, Ltd*, 396 F 3d 1289.
- Beckett v BBC*, [1983] IRLR 43.
- Bell v Lever Bros UKHL 2*, AC 161, All ER 1.
- Berlitz School of Languages v Duchene*, (1903) 6. F 181.
- Bishop v Baker Refractories Ltd*, [2002] WCA Civ 06.
- Bleuse v MBT Transport*, [2008] ICR 488.
- Bliss v South East Thames Regional Health Authority*, [1985] IRLR 308 CA.
- Blundell v St. Andrew's Catholic Primary School*, [2007] IRLR 652.
- Bouchala v Trust House Forte*, [1980] IRLR 382.
- Booth v Crown Prosecution Service*, (2006) All ER (D) 225 (Jan).
- Borders Regional Council v Maule*, [1993] IRLR 199.
- Bowden and others v Tuffnells Parcels Express Ltd*, [2001] IRLR 838.
- Bracebridge Engineering Ltd v Darby*, [1990] IRLR 3.
- British Airways v Williams*, [2011] IRLR 948.
- British Airways (European Operations at Gatwick) Ltd v Moore*, [2000] EAT 185_99_2001.
- British Labour Pump v Byrne*, [1979] ICR 347.
- British Home Stores Ltd v Burchell*, [1978] IRLR 379.
- British Nursing Association v Inland Revenue*, [2003] ICR 19.
- Brown and others v Knowsley Borough Council*, [1986] IRLR 102 EAT.
- Bruce v Wiggins Teape Ltd*, [1994] IRLR 536.
- Buchan v Secretary of State for Employment*, (1997) IRLR 80.
- Bull v Hall*, [2013] UKSC 7.
- Byrne Brothers (Formwork) Ltd v Baird and others*, (2002) IRLR 96.
-
- Cable & Wireless plc v Muscat*, [2006] IRLR 355.
- Calder v H. Kitson Vickers & Sons (Engineers) Ltd*, [1988] ICR 232, 251.
- Caledonian Mining Co Ltd v Bassett and Steel*, 1987 IRLR, 165.
- Cassidy v Minister of Health* 1951 2KB 343.
- Caswell v Powell*, [1940] AC 152.
- Cerberus Software Ltd v Rowley*, [2001] IRLR 160 CA.
- Chief Constable of South Yorkshire Police v Jelic*, [2010] IRLR 744.
- Chief Constable of West Yorkshire Police v Khan*, [2001] UKHL 48.
- Child Support Agency v Truman*, [2009] UKEAT.
- Clarke v Telewest Communication*, ET Case No. 1301034/2004.
- CLFIS (UK) Ltd v Reynolds*, [2015] EWCA Civ 439, [2015] IRLR 562.

- Coleman v Attridge Law*, [2008] IRLR 722.
- Collier v Sunday Referee Publishing Co Ltd*, [1940] 2 KB 647.
- Converform (Darwen) Ltd v Bell*, [1981] IRLR 195.
- Cooté v Granada Hospitality Ltd* (No 2), [1999] IRLR 452.
- Cooper v Isle of Wight College*, [2007] EWHC 2831 (QB)
- Corps of Commissionaires Management Ltd v Hughes*, [2009] IRLR 122.
- Corr v IBC Ltd*, [2008] ICR 372.
- Cox v Sun Alliance Life Ltd*, [2001] IRLR 448 CA.
- Cresswell v Board of the Inland Revenue*, [1984] IRLR 190.
- Croftier Hand Woven Harris Tweed Co Ltd v Veitch*, [1941] UKHL 2.
- Crookhall v Vickers Armstrong Ltd*, [1955] 1 WLR 659.
- Custom and Excise Commssioners v Total Network SL*, [2008] UKHL 19.
- Day v Tait*, (1900) 8 S.L.T. 40.
- Davies v MJ Wyatt (Decorators) Ltd*, [2000] IRLR 759.
- Davis v New England College of Arundel*, [1977] ICR 6, 11 ITR 278 EAT.
- Davies Contractors Ltd v Fareham Urban District Council*, [1956] AC 696 HL.
- Dawkins v Department of the Environment; sub nom Crown Suppliers PSA*, [1993] IRLR 284 CA.
- Day v T Pickles Farm Ltd*, [1999] IRLR 217.
- Dewhurst v Citysprint UK Ltd*, EW No. 2202512/2016.
- Dickins v O2 plc*, [2009] IRLR 58.
- Dixon v BBC*, [1979] ICR 281.
- Doogan and another v Greater Glasgow and Clyde Health Board*, [2015] AC 640.
- DPP v Kent and Sussex Contractors Ltd*, [1944] KB 146.
- Dryden v Greater Glasgow Health Board*, [1992] IRLR 469.
- Dunn v AAH*, [2010] EWCA Civ 183.
- Dunn v Institute of Cemetery and Crematorium Management*, [2012] All ER (D) 173.
- Dynamex Operations West, Inc. v Superior Court of Los Angeles County*, 416 P.3d 1 (Cal. 2018).
- Eastern and Coastal Kent Primary Care Trust v Grant*, [2009] IRLR 429.
- Edmonds v Lawson*, [2000] I.R.L.R. 391.
- Edwards v SOGAT*, [1971] Ch.354.
- England v British Telecommunications plc*, [1990] ICR 248.
- English v Thomas Sanderson Blinds Ltd*, [2009] IRLR 206.

- Esterman v NALGO*, [1974] ICR 625.
Eversheds v De Belin, [2011] IRLR 448.
Edwards v Chesterfield Royal Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, [2012] IRLR 129.
Eweida v British Airways plc, [2010] IRLR 322.
Express and Echo Publications Ltd v Tanton, [1999] IRLR 367.
- Faccenda Chicken v Fowler*, [1987] Ch. 117.
Faramus v Film Aristes' Association, [1964] AC 925 (HL).
FC Shepherd & Co Ltd v Jerrom, [1986] IRLR 358 CA.
Ferguson v Telford, Grier & McKay & Co, [1967] I.T.R. 387.
Financial Techniques v Hughes, [1981] IRLR 32.
Forster v Cartwright Black, [2004] IRLR 781.
Forstater v CGD Europe & Ors, [2021] UKEAT 0105_20_1006.
Forstater v CGD Europe & Anor, [2019] UKET 2200909M;
Foryth v Healthery Knowe Coal Company, (1880) 7R887.
Four Seasons Healthcare Ltd v Maughan, [2005] IRLR 324.
Fraser v Southwest London St George's Mental Health Trust, [2012] IRLR 100.
FW Farnsworth and another v Lacy and others, [2012] EWHC 2830.
- Gallagher v Alpha Catering Services Ltd*, [2005] IRLR 102.
Garcetti v Cebellos, 4547 U.S. 410 [2006].
Gascol Conversions Ltd v Mercer, [1974] EWCA Civ 11.
General Cleaning Contractors Ltd v Christmas, [1954] AC 180.
Gibbons v Associated British Ports, [1985] I.R.L.R. 376.
Gottschalk v Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 65 (1972).
Grainger plc v Nicholson, [2010] IRLR 4.
Grant v HM Land Registry, [2011] EWCA Civ 769.
Greenway v Johnson Matthey, [2016] IRLR 526.
Grange v Abellio London Ltd, [2017] IRLR 108.
Griffiths v Secretary State for Social Services, [1974] Q.B. 468.
G4S Gas Solutions v Powell, [2016] IRLR 820.
- Hardman v Mallton t/a Orchard Lodge Nursing Home*, [2002]. IRLR 516.
Harper v Virgin Net Ltd, [2004] IRLR 390.
Harrison v Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospitals NHS Trust, [2019] EWHC 3507 (QB).

- Hatton v Sutherland*, [2002] EWCA Civ 76, [2002] 2 All ER 1.
- Hawkins v Atex Group Ltd*, [2012] IRLR 807.
- Hellyer Bros v Atkinson*, (1992) IRLR 540.
- Helmest Integrated System Ltd v Tunnard*, [2007] IRLR 126 CA.
- Hill v C.A. Parsons Ltd*, [1972] Ch. 305.
- Hilton v Shiner Ltd*, [2001] IRLR 727.
- Hivac Ltd v Park Royal Scientific Instruments Ltd*, [1946] Ch 169.
- HKSAR v Yuong Ho Cheung*, [2020] HKCFA 29.
- HM Prison Service v Ibimidun*, [2008] IRLR 940.
- Hoey v McEwan & Auld*, (1867) 5 M 814.
- Hollister v The National Farmer's Union*, [1979] IRLR 238.
- Homer v Chief Constable of West Yorkshire Police*, [2012] UKSC 15.
- Hone v Six Continents Ltd*, [2006] IRLR 49.
- HSBC v Madden Post Office v Foley*, [2000] IRLR 827.
- Hugh-Jones v St. Johns College Cambridge*, [1979] ICR 848.
- Hurstwood Properties (A) Ltd v Rossendale BC*, [2021] UKSC 16.
- Hussain v Consumer Credit Counselling*, ET Case No.1804305/04.
- Hussman Manufacturing Ltd*, (1998) IRLR 288.
- Igen Ltd v Wong*, [2005] IRLR 258.
- Independent Workers' Union of Great Britain (IWGB) v Rooffoods ('Deliveroo')*, [2021] EWCA Civ 952.
- Independent Workers Union of Great Britain (Appellant) v Central Arbitration Committee and another (Respondents) Michaelmas Term*, [2023] UKSC 43.
- Initial Service v Putterill*, [1967] 3 All ER 145 CA.
- Intel Corporation v Daw*, [2007] IRLR 355 CA.
- Item Software (UK) Ltd v Fassihi*, [2004] EWCA Civ 1244.
- James v Eastleigh Borough Council*, [1990] IRLR 288.
- James v London Borough of Greenwich*, [2008] IRLR 302.
- Johnson v Medical Defence Union Ltd*, [2007] EWCA Civ.
- Johnson v Unisys Ltd*, [2001] IRLR 279 HL.
- Johnstone v Bloomsbury Area Health Authority*, [1992] QB 333.
- Jones v Lionite Specialities Cardiff Ltd*, (1961) 497.
- Jones v Sandwell Metropolitan District Council*, [2018] UKSC 16.
- Judge v Crown Ltd*, [2005] EWCA Civ 571A2/2004/2192.
- Kidd v Axa Equity & Law Life Assurance Society plc*, [2000] IRLR 301.
- King v Scottish & Newcastle*, IDS Brief 641, 10 May 1999.

- Knowles v Liverpool City Council*, [1993] 1 WLR 1428.
Kocur v Angard Staffing, [2022] EWCA Civ 189.
Kwik Fit GB Ltd v Lineham, [1992] IRLR 156.
Ladele v Islington Borough Council, [2009] EWCA Civ. 1357 (CA), [2010] IRLR 211 [52].
Lagerwall v Wilkinson, Henderson and Clarke Ltd, (1899) 80 LT 55.
Laing v Manchester City Council, [2006] IRLR 748.
Lancashire Fires Ltd v SA Lyons & Co Ltd, [1997] IRLR 113 CA.
Land Brandenburg v Sass, (2005) IRLR 147).
Latimer v AEC, [1953] AC 643.
Law v London Chronicle Ltd, [1959] 1 WLR 698 CA.
Lawlor v Union of Post Office Workers, [1965] Ch 712, Ch D.
Lee v Showmen's Guild of Great Britain, [1952] 2 QB 329.
Leeds Dental Team v Rose, [2014] IRLR 8.
Leigh v NUR, [1970] Ch 326.
Lennox v Allan & Sons, (1880) 3 R38.
Lewen v Denda, [2000] IRLR 6/7.
Lion Laboratories v Evans, [1985] QB 526.
Lister v Hesley Hall Ltd, [2002] 1 AC 215.
London Borough of Lewisham v Malcolm, [2008] IRLR 700.
Lumley v Grey, [1853] EWHC QB J73.
Lyddon v Englefield Ltd, [2008] IRLR 198.
- MacFarlane v Glasgow City Council*, [2001] IRLR 7.
Mahmud v Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA, [1997] IRLR 462 SC.
Majrowaki v Guy's and St. Thomas's NHD Trust, [2006] 3 WLR 125.
Malik and Mahmud v Bank of Credit and Commerce International SA, [1997] UKHL 23.
Mandla v Dowell Lee, [1983] IRLR 209 HL.
Market Investigations Ltd v Minister of Social Security, [1969] 2 QB 173.
Marley v Froward Trust Group Limited, [1986] I.R.L.R. 369.
Marshall v Harland and Wolff Ltd, [1972] ICR 101.
Marshall v Industrial Systems & Control Ltd, [1992] IRLR 294.
Martin v Southern Health and Social Care Trust, [2010] IRLR 1048.
Mba v Merton London Borough Council, [2013] EWCA Civ 1562.
McClaren v Home Office, [1990] I.C.R. 824.
McClory v Post Office, [1993] IRLR 159 EAT.

- McDonnell Douglas Corp. v Green*, 411 U.S. 792 (1973)).
- McMeechan v Secretary of State for Employment*, [1997] IRLR 353.
- McVitae v UNISON*, [1996] IRLR 33.
- Mears v Safecar Security Limited*, 1982 ICR 628.
- Mehaffy v Dunnes Stores (UK) Limited*, ET Case No.1308076/03.
- Merchant v British Telecom*, ET/1401305/11.
- Mersey Docks & Harbour Board v Coggins Griffiths Ltd*, [1947] A.C. 1.
- Midland Counties Bank Ltd v Attwood*, (1905) CH 355.
- Miles v Wakefield*, [1987] UKHL 15.
- Montgomery v Johnson Underwood Ltd*, [2001] IRLR 269.
- Moore v C&A Modes*, [1981] IRLR 71.
- Murray v MaClay Murray & Spens LLP*, UKEATS/0004/18/JW.
- Nagle v Feilden*, [1966] 2 QB 633 (CA).
- National Coal Board v Galley*, [1958] 1 WLR 16.
- Neary v Dean of Westminster*, [1999] IRLR 288.
- Ngole v University of Sheffield*, [2019] EWCA Civ 1127.
- Norfolk County Council v Bernard*, [1979] IRLR 222.
- Nottingham University v Fishel*, [2000] IRLR 471.
- OBG Ltd v Allan*, [2007] UKHL 21.
- O'Brien v Ministry of Justice*, [2012] 2 CMLR 25.
- O'Kelly v Trusthouse Forte plc*, [1983] ICR 728.
- O'Neil v Buckinghamshire Country Council*, (2010) IRLR 384.
- Outram v Academy Plastics*, [2000] IRLR 499.
- P v S and Cornwall County Council*, [1996] IRLR 347.
- Panesar v Nestlé Co*, [1980] IRLR 60.
- Pearce v Governing Body of Mayfield Secondary School*, [2001] IRLR 669 CA.
- Pennwell Publishing Ltd v Ornstein*, [2007] IRLR 700.
- Performing Rights Society v Mitchell and Booker*, (1924) 1 KB 762.
- Pfeiffer v Deutsches Rotes Kreuz*, [2005] IRLR 137.
- Polkey v A E Dayton Services Ltd*, [1987] IRLR 503.
- Powdrill v Watson*, [1995] 2 AC 394.
- Prest v Petrodel Resources*, [2013] UKSC 34.
- Production v Hamilton*, [1982] UKHL 1010-1.

Qua v John Ford Morrison Solicitors, [2003] ICR 482.

R v Adomako, [1995] 1 AC 171.

R v Attorney General for Northern Ireland, ex parte Burns, [1999] IRLR 315.

R v Birmingham City Council, ex parte Equal Opportunities Commission, [1989] IRLR 173.

R v Brown and others, [1996] AC 543.

R v Caldwell, [1981] 1 All ER 961.

R v Cunningham, [1957] 2 QB 396.

R v G & R, [2003] 3 WLR.

R v Swan Hunter Shipbuilder and Telemeter Installations Ltd, [1982] IREER 403.

R (Boots Management Services Ltd) v Central Arbitration Committee, [2014] EWHC 2930.

R(E) v Jewish Free School, [2009] UKSC 15.

R (Independent Workers' Union of Great Britain) v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, [2020] EWHC 3050.

R (on the application of Bridges) v Chief Constable of South Wales Police, [2020] EWCA Civ 1058.

Ready Mixed Concrete (South East) v Minister of Pension and National Insurance, [1968] 1 All ER 433 HC.

Reigate v Union Manufacturing Company (Ramsbottom) Ltd, (1918) 1 KB 592.

Richmond Pharmacology Ltd v Dhaliwal, [2009] ICR 724.

Rigby v Ferodo Ltd, [1988] I.C.R. 29.

Robb v Green, [1895] 2 QB 315.

Robert and Jackson v British Gas Corporation, [1983] I.R.L.R. 302, C.A.

Robinson–Steele v RD Retail Ltd, [2006] IRLR 386.

Rodgers v Leeds Laser Cutting Limited, [2022] EAT 69.

Rodway v New Southern Railways Ltd, [2005] EWCA Civ 443.

Rookes v Barnard, [1964] AC 1129.

Royal Bank of Scotland plc v Harrison, [2009] IRLR 28.

Royal Mencap Society v Tomlinson–Blake, [2021] UKSC 8.

Royce v Greig, (1902) SLT 298.

Russell v Transocean Ltd, [2012] IRLR 149.

Salomon v Salomon Co. Ltd, [1896] UKHL 1.

Sally v Southern Health Board, [1991] IRLR 522.

- Secretary State v ASLEF* (No 2), [1972] ICR 19.
- Secretary of State for Employment v ASLEF* (No 2), [1972] ICR 19.
- Secretary of State for Trade and Industry v Bottrill*, [1999] IRLR 326.
- Seide v Gillette Industries Ltd*, [1980] IRLR 427.
- Seldon v Clarkson, Wright and Jakes*, [2012] IRLR 590.
- Selfridges Ltd v Wayne and Others*, [1995] UKEAT 486.
- SG & R Valuation Service v Boudrais and Ors*, [2008] EWHC 1340 (QB); [2008] IRLR 770.
- Shook v London Borough of Ealing*, [1986] IRLR 56, EAT.
- Silva v Vidyodaya University of Ceylon*, [1965] 1 WLR 77 CPC.
- Sim v Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council*, [1986] IRLR 391.
- Simmons v Hoover*, [1977] ICR 61.
- Smith v Gardner Merchant Ltd*, [1998] IRLR 510 CA.
- Smith (National Minimum Wage Compliance Officer of the Inland Revenue) v Herwitson and another t/a Executive Coach Catering Services*, [2001] UKEAT 489 – 01 – 1709, EAT/489/01.
- Southampton City College v Randall*, [2006] IRLR 18.
- South Bay United Pentecostal Church, et al., v Gavin Newsom, et al.* 590 U. S. (2020).
- Spink v Express Foods Group Ltd*, [1990] IRLR 320 EAT.
- Spring v Guardian Assurance plc*, [1994] IRLR 460 HL.
- Stagecraft v Minister of Pension*, 1952 SC299.
- Stanton v Wolfendens Cranes Limited*, (1972) IRLR 82.
- Stevedoring Services Ltd v Burgess*, [2002] IRLR 810.
- Stevens v University of Birmingham*, [2015] IRLR 899.
- Stevenson, Jordan & Harrison Limited v MacDonald & Evans Ltd*, [1952] 1 T.L.R. 101.
- Stokes v GKN Ltd*, [1968] 1 WLR 1776. Û
- Stratford v Lindley*, [1964] AC 1129.
- Sutton & Gates Luton Ltd v Boxall*, [1978] IRLR 486.
- System Floors (UK) Ltd v Daniel*, [1982] ICR 54.
- Tayside Regional Council v McIntosh*, [1982] IRLR 272.
- Tesco Stores Ltd v Pook*, [2004] IRLR 618.
- Thames Cleaning v United Forces of the World*, [2016] IRLR 695.
- The Alkyon* [2019] EWCA Civ 2760, [2019] 1 Lloyd's Rep 406.
- The ANL Progress (formerly Starship)*, [2003] 1 Lloyd's Rep 423 (NZ HC in Adm), 426. 2.

- The City of Edinburgh v Brown*, [1999] IRLR 218.
The Madonna D'Idra (1811) 1 Dods 37, 40; 165 ER 1224, 1225.
The Minerva (1825) 1 Hag 347, 355; 166 ER 123, 126.
Thomson v Alloa Motor Co Ltd, (1983) IRLR 403.
Thomson v Thomson's, (1889) 16R 333.
Thomson v Thomson Trustee, (1889) 16 R 333.
Ticehurst v British Telecom, [1992] IRLR 219.
Tradition Financial Services v Gamberoni, [2017] IRLR 698.
Transco v O'Brien, [2002] IRLR 444.
Turner v Sawdon & Co, [1901] 2 KB 653.
Uber BV and others v Aslam and others, [2021] UKSC 5.
United Bank Ltd v Akhtar, [1989] IRLR 507.
University of Nottingham v Eyett, [1999] IRLR 87.
- Varnish v British Cycling Federation (t/a British Cycling)*, [2018] 12 WLUK.
Vine v National Dock Labour Board, [1957] A.C. 488.
- W A Goold (Pearmark) Ltd b McConnel*, [1995] IRLR 516.
Walker v Northumberland County Council, [1995] 1 All ER 737.
Waltons & Morse v Dorrington, [1997] IRLR 488, EAT.
Wang v University of Keele (UKEAT/0223/10/CEA).
Weathersfield v Sargent, [1999] IRLR 94 CA.
Western Excavating ECC Ltd v Sharp, [1979] ICR 221.
Whiffen v Milham Ford Girls' School, [2001] IRLR 468 CA.
Whitbread Plc (T/A Thresher) v Gullyes, EAT/478/92.
Whittaker v Minister of Pensions, 1967 1KIR 669.
Williams v Watson Luxury Coaches Ltd, [1990] IRLR 164.
Wilsons and Clyde Coal Co Ltd v English, [1938] AC 57 HL.
Wiltshire Country Council v NATFHE and Guy, [1980] IRLR 198.
Wiltshire Police Authority v Wynn, [1980] I.C.R. 649.
Wiluszynski v London Borough of Tower Hamlets, [1989] ICR 439.
Wolverhampton Ltd. v Haynes, [1959] 2 All E.R.
Wood v W M Car Service (Peterborough) Ltd, [1981] IRLR 347.
Wood Group Heavy Industrial Turbines Ltd v Crossan, [1998] IRLR 680.
- X v Y ET Case No. 2413947/2020.*